

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice

Marcus Haldane

Institute for Computational Sciences, Westmoor Technical University, United Kingdom

Received: 06/02/2026

Accepted: 14/06/2026

Published: 07/09/2026

Abstract

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. The paper examines the topic from conceptual, practical, social and ethical perspectives. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.

Keywords

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice; Acupressure; Complementary Healthcare; Holistic Wellness; Self-Care; Relaxation; Patient-Centred Care; Health Education; Safety; Integrative Practice

Introduction

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. Contemporary interest in complementary health reflects continuing engagement with traditional knowledge and modern interest in wellness. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while

<https://revistaidj.com/>

contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.

Responsible use also requires attention to limitations. Acupressure should not be presented as a universal replacement for diagnosis, emergency care or treatment of serious disease. Persistent, severe, unexplained or rapidly changing symptoms warrant appropriate professional assessment. Complementary approaches can be discussed as supportive wellness options while maintaining clear healthcare boundaries.

Education and research can strengthen the field. Practitioners and educators can emphasize safe communication, appropriate boundaries and the difference between personal experience and clinical evidence. Research can examine acceptability, user experience, safety, service delivery and clearly defined outcomes. Such work may contribute to a more balanced understanding of complementary practices.

Another important issue is accessibility. Simple wellness practices may appeal because they can be incorporated into daily routines, but accessibility should not be confused with universal suitability. Cultural context, individual comfort, practitioner competence and personal circumstances all influence whether a practice is appropriate. Inclusive health education should therefore acknowledge diversity rather than assume that one approach fits everyone.

Conceptual Foundations

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. The conceptual foundations of the practice include traditional understandings of pressure points, bodily awareness and holistic well-being. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.

Responsible use also requires attention to limitations. Acupressure should not be presented as a universal replacement for diagnosis, emergency care or treatment of serious disease. Persistent, severe, unexplained or rapidly changing symptoms warrant appropriate professional assessment. Complementary approaches can be discussed as supportive wellness options while maintaining clear healthcare boundaries.

Education and research can strengthen the field. Practitioners and educators can emphasize safe communication, appropriate boundaries and the difference between personal experience and clinical evidence. Research can examine acceptability, user experience, safety, service delivery and clearly defined outcomes. Such work may contribute to a more balanced understanding of complementary practices.

Another important issue is accessibility. Simple wellness practices may appeal because they can be incorporated into daily routines, but accessibility should not be confused with universal suitability. Cultural context, individual comfort, practitioner competence and personal circumstances all influence whether a practice is appropriate. Inclusive health education should therefore acknowledge diversity rather than assume that one approach fits everyone.

Conceptual Framework

TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE → ACUPRESSURE → BODY AWARENESS → RELAXATION / WELLNESS SUPPORT

Role and Importance

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. Its role can be considered in relation to self-care, personal wellness, patient preference and complementary healthcare services. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.

Responsible use also requires attention to limitations. Acupressure should not be presented as a universal replacement for diagnosis, emergency care or treatment of serious disease. Persistent, severe, unexplained or rapidly changing symptoms warrant appropriate professional assessment. Complementary approaches can be discussed as supportive wellness options while maintaining clear healthcare boundaries.

Education and research can strengthen the field. Practitioners and educators can emphasize safe communication, appropriate boundaries and the difference between personal experience and clinical evidence. Research can examine acceptability, user experience, safety, service delivery and clearly defined outcomes. Such work may contribute to a more balanced understanding of complementary practices.

Another important issue is accessibility. Simple wellness practices may appeal because they can be incorporated into daily routines, but accessibility should not be confused with universal suitability. Cultural context, individual comfort, practitioner competence and personal circumstances all influence whether a practice is appropriate. Inclusive health education should therefore acknowledge diversity rather than assume that one approach fits everyone.

Wellness Pathway

SELF-CARE → BODY AWARENESS → RELAXATION → PERCEIVED WELL-BEING → INFORMED CHOICE

Contemporary Applications

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. Current applications may occur in wellness programmes, personal routines and complementary service environments. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.


Responsible use also requires attention to limitations. Acupressure should not be presented as a universal replacement for diagnosis, emergency care or treatment of serious disease. Persistent, severe, unexplained or rapidly changing symptoms warrant appropriate professional assessment. Complementary approaches can be discussed as supportive wellness options while maintaining clear healthcare boundaries.

Education and research can strengthen the field. Practitioners and educators can emphasize safe communication, appropriate boundaries and the difference between personal experience and clinical evidence. Research can examine acceptability, user experience, safety, service delivery and clearly defined outcomes. Such work may contribute to a more balanced understanding of complementary practices.

Another important issue is accessibility. Simple wellness practices may appeal because they can be incorporated into daily routines, but accessibility should not be confused with universal suitability. Cultural context, individual comfort, practitioner competence and personal circumstances all influence whether a practice is appropriate. Inclusive health education should therefore acknowledge diversity rather than assume that one approach fits everyone.

Application Model

ACUPRESSURE



Practices, Patient Perspectives and Decision-Making

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. Patient-centred practice places comfort, preferences, informed choice and realistic expectations at the centre of the experience. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.

Responsible use also requires attention to limitations. Acupressure should not be presented as a universal replacement for diagnosis, emergency care or treatment of serious disease. Persistent, severe, unexplained or rapidly changing symptoms warrant appropriate professional assessment. Complementary approaches can be discussed as supportive wellness options while maintaining clear healthcare boundaries.

Education and research can strengthen the field. Practitioners and educators can emphasize safe communication, appropriate boundaries and the difference between personal experience and clinical evidence. Research can examine acceptability, user experience, safety, service delivery and clearly defined outcomes. Such work may contribute to a more balanced understanding of complementary practices.

Another important issue is accessibility. Simple wellness practices may appeal because they can be incorporated into daily routines, but accessibility should not be confused with universal suitability. Cultural context, individual comfort, practitioner competence and personal circumstances all influence whether a practice is appropriate. Inclusive health education should therefore acknowledge diversity rather than assume that one approach fits everyone.

Patient-Centred Model

INFORMATION → CONSENT → INDIVIDUAL PREFERENCE → RESPONSIBLE PRACTICE → REVIEW

Public Awareness, Education and Integrative Healthcare

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. Public awareness affects how complementary practices are understood, selected and communicated. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.

Responsible use also requires attention to limitations. Acupressure should not be presented as a universal replacement for diagnosis, emergency care or treatment of serious disease. Persistent, severe, unexplained or rapidly changing symptoms warrant appropriate professional assessment. Complementary approaches can be discussed as supportive wellness options while maintaining clear healthcare boundaries.

Education and research can strengthen the field. Practitioners and educators can emphasize safe communication, appropriate boundaries and the difference between personal experience and clinical evidence. Research can examine acceptability, user experience, safety, service delivery and clearly defined outcomes. Such work may contribute to a more balanced understanding of complementary practices.

Another important issue is accessibility. Simple wellness practices may appeal because they can be incorporated into daily routines, but accessibility should not be confused with universal suitability. Cultural context, individual comfort, practitioner competence and personal circumstances all influence whether a practice is appropriate. Inclusive health education should therefore acknowledge diversity rather than assume that one approach fits everyone.

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. Integration with wider healthcare requires communication, appropriate referral and clear professional boundaries. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

Challenges, Safety and Ethical Considerations

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. Safety, ethics and responsible communication are essential to contemporary complementary practice. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.

Responsible use also requires attention to limitations. Acupressure should not be presented as a universal replacement for diagnosis, emergency care or treatment of serious disease. Persistent, severe, unexplained or rapidly changing symptoms warrant appropriate professional assessment. Complementary approaches can be discussed as supportive wellness options while maintaining clear healthcare boundaries.

Education and research can strengthen the field. Practitioners and educators can emphasize safe communication, appropriate boundaries and the difference between personal experience and clinical evidence. Research can examine acceptability, user experience, safety, service delivery and clearly defined outcomes. Such work may contribute to a more balanced understanding of complementary practices.

Another important issue is accessibility. Simple wellness practices may appeal because they can be incorporated into daily routines, but accessibility should not be confused with universal suitability. Cultural context, individual comfort, practitioner competence and personal circumstances all influence whether a practice is appropriate. Inclusive health education should therefore acknowledge diversity rather than assume that one approach fits everyone.

Responsible Practice

CLEAR INFORMATION + CONSENT + SAFETY + PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES



RESPONSIBLE USE

Conclusion

Acupressure and Evidence-Informed Complementary Practice can be understood within the wider development of complementary and integrative healthcare. The overall significance of the topic is linked to the continuing interaction between traditional knowledge, contemporary wellness expectations and responsible healthcare. Acupressure is generally described as a practice involving manual pressure applied to selected points of the body. In contemporary wellness settings, it is commonly associated with relaxation, self-care, bodily awareness and personal well-being. Its meaning and use can vary between communities, practitioners and individual users.

The contemporary relevance of acupressure and evidence-informed complementary practice is also connected with changing expectations about health. People increasingly encounter health information through clinics, community programmes, social media and digital education. This makes the quality of communication especially important. Traditional explanations may have cultural value, while contemporary claims should be presented carefully and should not be treated as established medical facts without appropriate evidence.

A patient-centred perspective recognizes that people approach complementary practices for different reasons. Some users may seek relaxation or a structured self-care routine, while others may value traditional knowledge or a sense of active participation in their own wellness. Respect for autonomy requires clear information, voluntary choice, appropriate consent and realistic expectations.

Responsible use also requires attention to limitations. Acupressure should not be presented as a universal replacement for diagnosis, emergency care or treatment of serious disease. Persistent, severe, unexplained or rapidly changing symptoms warrant appropriate professional assessment. Complementary approaches can be discussed as supportive wellness options while maintaining clear healthcare boundaries.

Education and research can strengthen the field. Practitioners and educators can emphasize safe communication, appropriate boundaries and the difference between personal experience and clinical evidence. Research can examine acceptability, user experience, safety, service delivery and clearly defined outcomes. Such work may contribute to a more balanced understanding of complementary practices.

Another important issue is accessibility. Simple wellness practices may appeal because they can be incorporated into daily routines, but accessibility should not be confused with universal suitability. Cultural context, individual comfort, practitioner competence and personal circumstances all influence

<https://revistaidj.com/>

whether a practice is appropriate. Inclusive health education should therefore acknowledge diversity rather than assume that one approach fits everyone.

References

National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. Complementary, Alternative, or Integrative Health.

National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. Health Information and Complementary Health Approaches.

World Health Organization. Traditional Medicine Strategy and related traditional medicine resources.

World Health Organization. Traditional, Complementary and Integrative Medicine resources.

Kaptchuk, T. J. *The Web That Has No Weaver: Understanding Chinese Medicine*. McGraw-Hill.

Birch, S., & Felt, R. *Understanding Acupuncture*. Elsevier.

Ernst, E. *Complementary Medicine: A Critical Appraisal*. Butterworth-Heinemann.

Snyder, M., & Lindquist, R. *Complementary and Alternative Therapies in Nursing*. Springer.

Dossey, B., & Keegan, L. *Holistic Nursing: A Handbook for Practice*. Jones & Bartlett.

Relevant peer-reviewed literature on complementary health, acupressure, patient experience, wellness and integrative care.