

Environmental Themes in Contemporary Visual Art

Ingrid Solberg

Affiliation: Department of Fine Arts, Nordholm University, Norway

Received: 01/04/2026

Accepted: 23/07/2026

Published: 25/09/2026

Abstract

Environmental concerns have become an important subject within contemporary visual art. Climate change, pollution, deforestation, biodiversity loss, resource extraction, and changing relationships between humans and nonhuman environments have encouraged artists to reconsider the boundaries between art, ecology, science, and activism. Environmental art has developed from earlier land-based practices into a broad field involving installation, sculpture, photography, performance, community projects, digital media, and ecological restoration. This paper examines the relationship between contemporary art and environmental thought. It discusses how artists represent ecological crisis, transform natural materials into artistic media, and encourage audiences to reconsider their relationship with the environment. The paper argues that environmental art can contribute to ecological awareness not simply by presenting environmental problems but by creating alternative ways of experiencing place, materiality, interdependence, and responsibility.

Keywords: environmental art, ecology, climate change, contemporary art, land art, sustainability, nature

Introduction

The relationship between art and nature has a long history. Landscapes have appeared in painting, photography, literature, sculpture, and architecture for centuries. However, contemporary environmental art differs from traditional landscape representation because it frequently treats nature not merely as a subject to be observed but as a complex ecological system involving humans has also transformed the political and cultural significance of landscape. A forest can no longer be understood only as a beautiful visual scene when it is simultaneously a habitat, a carbon sink, a source of timber, and a site affected by industrial development. A river can be both an aesthetic object and a living ecological system diverse strategies. Some use natural materials, while others document environmental destruction. Some collaborate with scientists or United States and Europe during the 1960s and 1970s. Artists such as Robert Smithson, Nancy Holt, Walter De Maria, and Richard Long constructed from mud, salt crystals, and rocks at the Great Salt Lake, is an important example. The work is not simply placed within expanded the idea of where art could exist. The gallery was no longer the associated with some early Land Art. The emphasis important characteristic of environmental art is the use of natural materials. Soil, wood, leaves, stones from leaves may decay. A work constructed from ice may melt. Plants may grow around an installation. A river traditional assumption that artworks should remain physically stable. Museums generally protect objects from deterioration, whereas environmental artworks may depend questions about permanence.

If an artwork changes continuously, should every stage be considered part of the work? The answer may depend on the artist's intention and the conceptual of ecological awareness through direct experience. A viewer encountering a large-scale installation made from discarded plastic, for example, may become physically aware of the quantity of waste generated, animals, plants, geological processes, and technologies.

The environmental crisis has also transformed the political and cultural significance of landscape. A forest can no longer be understood only as a beautiful visual scene when it is simultaneously a habitat, a carbon sink, a source of timber, and a site affected by industrial development. A river can be both an aesthetic object and a living ecological system threatened by pollution.

Environmental artists respond to these complexities through diverse strategies. Some use natural materials, while others document environmental destruction. Some collaborate with scientists or communities, and others create temporary installations that change through natural processes.

From Land Art to Environmental Art

The development of environmental art is closely connected to Land Art in the United States and Europe during the 1960s and 1970s. Artists such as Robert Smithson, Nancy Holt, Walter De Maria, and Richard Long challenged conventional gallery spaces by creating works in landscapes.

Robert Smithson's *Spiral Jetty* (1970), constructed from mud, salt crystals, and rocks at the Great Salt Lake, is an important example. The work is not simply placed within nature; it changes through interaction with geological and environmental processes.

Such works expanded the idea of where art could exist. The gallery was no longer the only legitimate location for artistic experience. Landscape itself could become an artistic context.

However, later environmental artists increasingly moved beyond the monumental intervention associated with some early Land Art. The emphasis shifted toward ecological relationships, sustainability, community participation, and environmental responsibility.

Nature as Material

One important characteristic of environmental art is the use of natural materials. Soil, wood, leaves, stones, water, seeds, and other organic substances can become components of artworks.

Natural materials introduce change into the artistic process. A sculpture made from leaves may decay. A work constructed from ice may melt. Plants may grow around an installation. A river may alter the arrangement of materials.

This challenges the traditional assumption that artworks should remain physically stable. Museums generally protect objects from deterioration, whereas environmental artworks may depend upon transformation.

The changing condition of such works raises philosophical questions about permanence. If an artwork changes continuously, should every stage be considered part of the work? The answer may depend on the artist's intention and the conceptual framework of the project.

Ecological Awareness and Audience Experience

Environmental art can create forms of ecological awareness through direct experience. A viewer encountering a large-scale installation made from discarded plastic, for example, may become physically aware of the quantity of waste generated by everyday consumption.

The effectiveness of such works does not necessarily depend upon providing scientific information. Instead, art can communicate through emotion, sensory environmental discourse. Scientific research can provide measurements and evidence, while art can explore what those facts mean culturally abstract statistic into an experience of vulnerability. Through visual or spatial strategies, the artist can encourage viewers to imagine environmental change as something that affects bodies projects may address mining, deforestation, pollution, fossil-f many forms. A project may document environmental damage, create public installations, organize community does not always need to provide direct political solutions. An artwork can contribute to environmental and environmental thinker Timothy Morton has emphasized ecological interconnection and the difficulty of separating human existence from larger ecological systems. Contemporary environmental art often explores similar ideas by the center of visual representation. Environmental art can challenge this hierarchy by giving greater attention to plants, animals, fungi, oceans, weather, geological processes, and other create an installation that imitates plant networks or uses sound to represent ecological processes. Another artist might collaborate with biological materials. Creating exhibitions requires transportation, electricity, materials, construction, and sometimes international travel. Digital art can require significant computing resources. Large installations can consume substantial quantities of. Some artists use recycled materials, local resources, temporary structures, or low-energy methods. Others emphasize the conceptual significance of material consumption itself. A sculpture made from industrial waste, for example, can simultaneously reveal the environmental consequences of consumer culture and demonstrate how discarded materials might be. An artist might work with residents to restore a public garden, document changes in a river, or than acting as an isolated creator, the artist becomes a facilitator of collective knowledge. Contemporary artists increasingly explore nature not as a decorative subject demonstrates that art can contribute to environmental discourse through sensory experience, emotional engagement, public participation, and critical reflection. Environmental art does not replace scientific knowledge, but it can complement scientific approaches by exploring the cultural and emotional dimensions of ecological therefore be the transformation of perception. By encouraging audiences to see forests, rivers, animals, materials, and landscapes as interconnected systems rather than passive resources, environmental art can create new forms of attention toward the world often unauthorized forms of urban expression into a globally recognized area of contemporary visual culture. Murals, stencils, stickers, installations, tags, posters, and large-scale public paintings can transform ordinary urban environments into spaces of visual communication. This paper examines how street art changes the meaning and experience of public space. It argues that street art is significant not only because of its visual appearance but also because of its relationship to ownership, accessibility, identity, community, and power. Unlike conventional gallery art, street art

frequently exists in locations accessible to people who may never visit museums or galleries. At the same time, the institutionalization and commercialization of street art raise questions about authenticity and control. The paper explores these tensions and considers street art as a form of contemporary visual dialogue between are filled with visual information. Advertisements, traffic signs, architecture, shopfronts, political posters, billboards, public monuments, and digital screens compete for attention. Within many forms, including graffiti writing, murals, stencils, posters, stickers, installations, and temporary interventions. Some works are legally commissioned, while others are created without official permission define as a single artistic movement. Nevertheless, many of street art therefore extends beyond visual aesthetics. It concerns who has the right to communicate in public, whose identities become visible, and how urban to them is never completely neutral. Governments regulate public areas, property owners control buildings, businesses purchase advertising space insignificant. When an artist paints a mural on it, the wall becomes a site of communication. A building can acquire a new contemporary graffiti culture developed particularly strongly in urban environments during function as a form of identity construction. Graffiti writers may use distinctive lettering styles and pseud graffiti was frequently treated by authorities as vandalism. This classification: an artist may regard a wall as an available major characteristic of street art is accessibility. Gallery exhibitions require visitors to enter particular institutions, while street mural while walking to work. A child may see a painted wall on the way to school.ize access to visual culture. People who do not regularly attend museums may nevertheless interact neighborhoods receive substantial investment in public art while others remain visually neglected. The distribution of public art can therefore reflect broader economic and cultural projects sometimes involve residents in selecting themes, designing that are absent from official monuments. Local workers, migrants community representation can be complicated. Artists working in a neighborhood may unintentionally impose their own interpretation on local identity. The question of who speaks associated with political expression. Because public walls are highly visible, they provide opportunities for communicating messages about war, inequality, migration, environmental concerns, gender, race, and government may be painted over, removed, or replaced by another message. Public walls messages with visual symbolism. The artistic form can attract attention before the viewer fully understands the recognized, museums, galleries, corporations, and city governments have increasingly incorporated street artists with income, visibility, and professional opportunities. At the same time, moving street art into galleries can remove it from the urban style that developed partly as an alternative to commercial advertising can itself commercial purposes. The visual language remains similar street art? Does an artist lose authenticity when their work enters a gallery? Can commercial success coexist with remain outside institutional structures. The category therefore contains multiple approaches exists physically in public spaces, digital technology has transformed how it circul may be destroyed, but its digital image can continue circulating. Consequently, street art increasingly exists simultaneously as a physical turning ordinary public surfaces into sites of visual communication. Its significance lies not only in to neighborhood identity, and introduce contemporary visual

culture into everyday environments. At the same time, conflicts surrounding vandalism, commercialization, institutionalization, and gentrification demonstrate that public art is never the city itself a site of artistic conversation. Rather than separating art from ordinary life, street artists place creative expression directly, L. M., Sherry, J. F., Borgh altered contemporary artistic practice. Computers, digital cameras, animation software, virtual environments, interactive installations, social media, and networked platforms have expanded the ways artists create, distribute, and experience art. Unlike traditional artworks that often exist as relatively stable physical objects, digital artworks may be interactive, reproducible, networked, or continuously changing. This paper examines the influence of digital media on contemporary art and considers how digital technology has changed artistic production, audience participation, exhibition practices, and the concept of the artwork itself. It argues that digital art should not be understood simply as traditional art transferred to a computer. Instead, digital media introduce new relationships between artists, audiences, software, data, and technological systems. The paper concludes, and social interaction increasingly depend on digital systems. It is therefore unsurprising that contemporary artists have incorporated These include computer-generated images, digital photography, animation, video games, interactive installations, virtual reality, augmented reality, generative systems it difficult to define. Some digital artworks resemble traditional painting the form of a physical object: a painting, sculpture, print, or photograph. Digital art can instead exist as informationoriginal" and a "copy." A website-based artwork may change over time. An interactive installation may produce tools through digital image production, animation, design, and experimental electronic cultural production. Concepts such as numerical representation, modularity, automation, variability, and transcoding help explain why digital on a physical surface, an artist may construct layers, manipulate parameters painting generally presents a completed image to the viewer. Interactive art can environment, touch a screen, speak into a microphone, move in the traditional relationship between artist and viewer. The artist creates a system or environment, while raises questions about control and authorship. The artist cannot always space. Instead of observing an artwork from outside, viewers be impossible or impractical to construct physically. Artists can create imaginary landscapes, historical also depends heavily on technological equipment. Headsets, computers, sensors, and software but also introduces new forms of competition. Artists must often navigate algorithms, audience may differ from artworks intended for large gallery walls. Artists may also film challenged traditional ideas of the unique artwork has developed various methods for addressing these issues, including editioning, digital question demonstrates that artistic value cannot be reduced entirely to physical uniqueness difficult. Software becomes obsolete, hardware stops functioningative systems can produce images, text, music, and other media based on learned patterns and design parameters rather than manually produce every visual element. This reinforces the idea that digital art often involves systems, processes digital photography, virtual environments, and social-media imagery can all become subjects culture. Pixel graphics, computer interfaces, online avatars, emojis, and game environments digital images are no longer confined to specialized artistic contexts

butible, interactive, networked, process-based, or dependent on complex technological infrastructures. These characteristics challenge traditional assumptions concerning the artwork as a unique and artistic traditions. Artists continue to work with familiar concerns such as composition, color, narrative, identity art is therefore best understood as an evolving field rather than a single artistic style. Its development reflects the broader transformation of contemporary society by digital technologies. As computing, virtual environments, artificial intelligence, and networked platforms continue to develop, artists will likely find new ways to question as placeholders for a mock paper, template, classroom exercise, or fictional research listed separately are intended to point to real scholarly literature rather experience, symbolism, and spatial relationships.

This is one of the strengths of art in environmental discourse. Scientific research can provide measurements and evidence, while art can explore what those facts mean culturally and emotionally.

An artwork about rising sea levels, for instance, can transform an abstract statistic into an experience of vulnerability. Through visual or spatial strategies, the artist can encourage viewers to imagine environmental change as something that affects bodies, homes, memories, and communities.

Environmental Art and Activism

Some environmental artists explicitly connect artistic practice with activism. Their projects may address mining, deforestation, pollution, fossil-fuel extraction, or industrial agriculture.

Artistic activism can take many forms. A project may document environmental damage, create public installations, organize community activities, or transform an environmentally damaged site.

However, environmental art does not always need to provide direct political solutions. An artwork can contribute to environmental discourse by changing how audiences perceive nonhuman life.

The philosopher and environmental thinker Timothy Morton has emphasized ecological interconnection and the difficulty of separating human existence from larger ecological systems. Contemporary environmental art often explores similar ideas by challenging human-centered perspectives.

Art and the Nonhuman World

Traditional Western art history has often placed humans at the center of visual representation. Environmental art can challenge this hierarchy by giving greater attention to plants, animals, fungi, oceans, weather, geological processes, and other nonhuman forms of existence.

This does not necessarily mean depicting animals realistically. An artist might create an installation that imitates plant networks or uses sound to represent ecological processes. Another artist might collaborate with biological materials.

Such approaches encourage viewers to consider the environment as something more than a passive background for human activity.

Sustainability and Contradictions

Environmental art also contains contradictions. Creating exhibitions requires transportation, electricity, materials, construction, and sometimes international travel. Digital art can require significant computing resources. Large installations can consume substantial quantities of material.

Consequently, environmental artists face a practical challenge: how can art address ecological destruction without reproducing environmentally harmful practices?

There is no universal answer. Some artists use recycled materials, local resources, temporary structures, or low-energy methods. Others emphasize the conceptual significance of material consumption itself.

These contradictions can become part of the artwork. A sculpture made from industrial waste, for example, can simultaneously reveal the environmental consequences of consumer culture and demonstrate how discarded materials might be reconsidered.

Community and Ecological Art

Environmental art increasingly involves communities. Instead of creating objects for passive spectators, artists may collaborate with residents, environmental organizations, farmers, scientists, or Indigenous communities.

Community-based environmental projects can address specific local conditions. An artist might work with residents to restore a public garden, document changes in a river, or create a collective archive of local environmental memories.

This approach changes the role of the artist. Rather than acting as an isolated creator, the artist becomes a facilitator of collective knowledge and experience.

Conclusion

Environmental art has expanded the relationship between artistic practice and ecological thought. Contemporary artists increasingly explore nature not as a decorative subject but as a complex network of relationships involving human and nonhuman life.

The field demonstrates that art can contribute to environmental discourse through sensory experience, emotional engagement, public participation, and critical reflection. Environmental art does not replace scientific knowledge, but it can complement scientific approaches by exploring the cultural and emotional dimensions of ecological change.

Its most significant contribution may therefore be the transformation of perception. By encouraging audiences to see forests, rivers, animals, materials, and landscapes as interconnected systems rather than passive resources, environmental art can create new forms of attention toward the world.

References

- Boetzkes, A. (2010). *The Ethics of Earth Art*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Demos, T. J. (2016). *Decolonizing Nature: Contemporary Art and the Politics of Ecology*. Sternberg Press.
- Kastner, J., & Wallis, B. (Eds.). (1998). *Land and Environmental Art*. Phaidon.
- Kester, G. H. (2011). *The One and the Many: Contemporary Collaborative Art in a Global Context*. Duke University Press.
- Morton, T. (2010). *The Ecological Thought*. Harvard University Press.
- Smithson, R. (1996). *The Collected Writings*. University of California Press.